arXiv:1606.03209v1 [math.CO] 10 Jun 2016

On some properties of enhanced power graph

Sudip Bera and A. K. Bhuniya

Department of Mathematics, Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan-731235, India.
sudipbera517@gmail.com, anjankbhuniya@gmail.com

Abstract

Given a group G, the enhanced power graph of G denoted by $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$, is the graph with vertex set G and two distinct vertices x, y are edge connected in $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$ if there exists $z \in G$ such that $x = z^m$ and $y = z^n$, for some $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. In this article, we characterize the enhanced power graph $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$ of G. The graph $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$ is complete if and only if G is cyclic, and $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$ is Eulerian if and only if $|G|$ is odd. We classify all abelian groups and also all non-abelian $p-$groups G for which $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$ satisfies the cone property.

Keywords: groups; enhanced power graphs; planar; Eulerian; $p-$groups.
AMS Subject Classifications: 05C25

1 Introduction

Given an algebraic structure S, we can associate this algebraic structure S to a directed or undirected graph in different ways[10], [18], [19]. To study algebraic structures using graph theory, different graph has been formulated, namely power graph of semigroup [10], strong power graph of group [19], normal subgroup based power graph of group [3], ideal based zero divisor graph of a ring [18] etc. The directed power graph of a semigroup was defined by Kelarev and Quinn [15]. Then Chakraborty et. al defined the undirected power graph $\mathcal{G}(S)$ of a semigroup S, where the vertex set of the graph is S and two distinct vertices x, y are adjacent if either $x = y^m$ or $y = x^n$ for some $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Many researcher generalized the undirected power graph in different ways. Aalipour et. al [2] defined the enhanced power graph of a group G. The enhanced power graph of a group G, is denoted by $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$, is the graph whose vertex set is the group G and two distinct vertices x, y are edge connected if there exists $z \in G$ such that $x = z^m$ and $y = z^n$ for some $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. In Section 2, some basic structure have been studied. From the definition it follows that $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$ is complete if G is cyclic. Here we show

that the converse also holds. The graph $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$ contains a cycle if and only if $o(a) \geq 3$, for some $a \in G$. As a consequence of this result, all finite group G have been characterized such that $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$ is bipartite, tree, and star graph. In Section 3, we classify all abelian groups G such that $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$ satisfies the cone property (details later). If G is a non-abelian group $p-$group, then $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$ satisfies the cone property if and only if G is a generalized quarternion group. Complete characterization of the groups G such that the graph $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$ is planar or Eulerian have been done in Section 4. Note that the identity element e of the group G is adjacent to every other vertex in $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$. Section 5 is devoted to characterize the deleted enhanced power graph $\mathcal{G}_e^*(G)$, a subgraph of $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$ obtained by deleting the vertex e.

2 Definition and basic structure

Throughout this article G stand for a finite group. We denote $o(x)$ to be the order of an element x in G, $\pi_e(G) = \{o(x) : x \in G\}$, $\pi(n) = \{p \in \mathbb{N} : p|n \text{ is a prime}, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $\pi(G) = \pi(|G|)$. Let $\mu(G) \subset \pi_e(G)$ be the set of all maximal element of $\pi_e(G)$ under the divisibility relation.

For any vertex a of the enhanced power graph $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$ we have $a, e \in < a >$. So the graph $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$ is connected. Let $a \in G$. We denote $\mathcal{G}en(a)$ to be the set of all generators of the cyclic subgroup $< a >$ of G. Then $G = \bigcup_{a \in G} \mathcal{G}en(a)$. Now we show that the vertices in $\mathcal{G}en(a)$ form a clique in $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$ for every $a \in G$. In fact, vertices x, y in $\mathcal{G}en(a)$ we have $x = a^m$ and $y = a^n$ for some $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. And hence $x \sim y$. Suppose that $\mathcal{G}en(a) \neq \mathcal{G}en(b)$. Let $x \in \mathcal{G}en(a)$, $y \in \mathcal{G}en(b)$ with $x \sim y$. Then there exists $z \in G$ such that $x, y \in < z >$. Now for any $x_1 \in \mathcal{G}en(a)$ and any $y_1 \in \mathcal{G}en(b)$ we have $< x >=< x_1 > \subset < z >$ and $< y >=< y_1 > \subset < z >$. Hence all the vertices in $\mathcal{G}en(a)$ are adjacent with all the vertices in $\mathcal{G}en(b)$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $a, b \in G$ with $o(a) = o(b)$ and $< a > \neq < b >$. Then none of the vertices in $\mathcal{G}en(a)$ is adjacent with the vertices in $\mathcal{G}en(b)$ in $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$.*

Proof. Suppose that $x \in \mathcal{G}en(a)$ and $y \in \mathcal{G}en(b)$ with $x \sim y$ in $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$. Then there exists $z \in G$ such that $x, y \in < z >$. Now $o(a) = o(b)$ implies that $o(x) = o(y)$. So the cyclic subgroup $< z >$ contains two distinct subgroups of same order. This is a contradiction. Hence the result follows. □

Theorem 2.2. *The enhanced power graph $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$ of the group G contains a cycle if and only if $o(a) \geq 3$, for some $a \in G$.*

Proof. First suppose that $\pi_e(G) \subset \{1, 2\}$. Then for every $a \in G$, $\mathcal{G}en(a)$ contains exactly one element. Now by the discussion above of the Theorem 2.1 and by the Theorem 2.1, the enhanced power graph $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$ has no cycle.

Conversely, suppose that $a \in G$ such that $o(a) \geq 3$. Then $|\mathcal{G}en(a)| \geq 2$. So the vertices in $\mathcal{G}en(a)$ with the identity form a cycle. Hence the result. □

If G is a finite group such that $o(x) = 2$ for every non-identity element x of G, then G is abelian and $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. Also a connected graph G is tree if and only if it has no cycle.

Corollary 2.3. *Let G be a group. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

1. *$\mathcal{G}_e(G)$ is bipartite;*
2. *$\mathcal{G}_e(G)$ is tree;*
3. *$G \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_2$;*
4. *$\mathcal{G}_e(G)$ is a star graph.*

Proof. Equivalences of $(1), (2)$ and (3) follows directly from Theorem 2.2.

$(3) \Rightarrow (4)$

Let $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. Then each non-identity element of G is of order 2. This implies that $\mathcal{G}en(a) = \{a\}$, for each non-identity element a of the group G, and $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$ is a star graph by Theorem 2.1.

$(4) \Rightarrow (3)$

Suppose that $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$ is a star graph. The identity element e is adjacent to all other vertices in $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$. Hence no two non-identity elements adjacent in $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$. This is possible only when order of each non-identity element of G is 2. Hence $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. □

A graph Γ is complete if any two vertices of the graph Γ are adjacent. Now we find the condition that the enhanced power graph $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$ is complete.

Theorem 2.4. *The enhanced power graph $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$ of the group G is complete if and only if G is cyclic.*

Proof. Suppose that the group G is cyclic. Then $G =< a >$, for some $a \in G$. Let x and y be two distinct vertices of $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$. Then $x, y \in G =< a >$, which implies that $x \sim y$ in $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$. Hence the graph $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$ is complete.

Conversely, suppose that the graph $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$ is complete. If possible G is non-cyclic. Let x be an element of G such that $o(x)$ is maximum and $H =< x >$. Now $G \neq H$ implies that there exists $y \in G \setminus H$. Since the graph $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$ is complete, so $x \sim y$ in $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$. Then there exists $z \in G$ such that $x, y \in < z >$. Since $o(x)$ is maximum, we have $< x >=< z >$, which leads to contradiction that $y \in < x >$. Hence G is cyclic group. □

3 Cone property of $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$

In this section we classify all abelian groups and non-abelian $p-$groups G such that $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$ has a vertex other than the identity element, which is adjacent to every vertex. In the context of power graphs, this property has been studied in [7], [8]. For the sake of smoothness in discussion, we call such a vertex a cone vertex and a graph having a cone vertex is said to satisfy the cone property.

Theorem 3.1. *Let G be a finite group and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $gcd(|G|, n) = 1$, then the enhanced power graph $\mathcal{G}_e(G \times \mathbb{Z}_n)$ has a cone vertex.*

Proof. We show that (e, a) is a cone vertex of the graph $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$, where a is a generator of $\mathbb{Z}_n$. Let $(g, x) \in G \times \mathbb{Z}_n$ and $x = a^m$. Then $gcd(o(g), o(a)) = 1$ implies that $(g, 0), (e, a) \in < (g, a) >$ and so $(g, x) = (g, 0)(e, a)^m \in < (g, a) >$. Thus $(g, x), (e, a) \in < (g, a) >$ and hence $(g, x) \sim (e, a)$ in $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$. □

Now we characterize all finite abelian groups G for which the enhanced power graph $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$ has a cone vertex. Let us fix a notation $[m] = \{1, 2, 3, \cdots, m\}$

Theorem 3.2. *Let G be a finite abelian group. Then $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$ has a cone vertex if and only if G has a cyclic Sylow subgroup.*

Proof. Suppose that G has a cyclic Sylow subgroup P and $|P| = p^m, p$ is a prime and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then by the fundamental theory of finite abelian group $G = H \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^m}$, where $m \in \mathbb{N}, H$ is subgroup of the group G, p is prime and $gcd(|H|, p^m) = 1$. Then by the previous theorem $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$ satisfies the cone property.

Conversely, suppose that the group G has no cyclic Sylow subgroups. Then we show that $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$ does not satisfy the cone property. First we note that under the assumption G is of the form

$$G = \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{t_{11}}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{t_{12}}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{t_{13}}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{t_{1k_1}}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_2^{t_{21}}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_2^{t_{22}}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_2^{t_{2k_2}}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_r^{t_{r1}}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_r^{t_{r2}}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_r^{t_{rk_r}}}$$

, where $k_i \geq 2$ and $t_{i1} \leq t_{i2} \leq \cdots \leq t_{ik_i}$, for all $i \in [r]$. Suppose $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$ has a cone element $v = (x_{p_1^{t_{11}}}, x_{p_1^{t_{12}}}, \cdots x_{p_1^{t_{1k_1}}}, x_{p_2^{t_{21}}}, \cdots, x_{p_2^{t_{2k_2}}}, \cdots, x_{p_r^{t_{1r}}}, x_{p_r^{t_{2r}}}, \cdots, x_{p_r^{t_{rk_r}}})$. Now we claim that for each $i \in [r]$ and for each $j \in [k_i - 1], x_{p_i^{t_{i1}}} = x_{p_i^{t_{i2}}} = \cdots = x_{p_i^{t_{ij}}} = 0$. [Here 0 actually means the additive identity of the group $\mathbb{Z}_{p_i^{t_{ij}}}$]. Consider the element $\acute{v} = (0, 0, \cdots, 0, g_{p_1^{t_{1k_1}}}, 0, 0, \cdots, g_{p_2^{t_{2k_2}}}, 0, \cdots, 0, g_{p_r^{t_{rk_r}}})$, where $g_{p_i^{t_{ik_i}}}$ are generators of the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_{p_i^{t_{ik_i}}}$ for each $i \in [r]$. Since v is a cone element of the graph $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$, we have $v \sim \acute{v}$ in $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$. Now $\acute{v}$ is a maximum ordered element of the group G implies that $v \in < \acute{v} >$. So for each $i \in [r]$ and for each $j \in [k_i - 1], x_{p_i^{t_{i1}}} = x_{p_i^{t_{i2}}} = \cdots = x_{p_i^{t_{ij}}} = 0$, i. e. $v = (0, 0, \cdots, 0, x_{p_1^{t_{1k_1}}}, 0, 0, \cdots, 0, x_{p_2^{t_{2k_2}}}, 0, \cdots, 0, x_{p_r^{t_{rk_r}}})$. Since v is a non-identity element of the

group G, atleast one of the $x_{p_i^{t_{ik_i}}}$ is non-zero. Without loss of generality we assume that $x_{p_1^{t_{1k_1}}} \neq 0$. Consider $v_1 = (x, 0, 0, \cdots, 0, 0)$, where $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{t_{ik_1}}}$ with $o(x) = p_1$. Then $p_1 | o(v)$. Now we show that v is not adjacent to v_1. Now $v \sim v_1$ implies that there exists a cyclic subgroup C of G such that $v, v_1 \in C$. Then $o(v_1) = p_1$ and p_1 divides $o(v)$ implies that $v_1 \in < v >$, which contradicts that $x \neq 0$. Hence the theorem. □

Now we turn our attention to the non-abelian groups. First note that, from the Theorem 3.1 we get an infinite family of non-abelian groups which satisfy the cone property but none of these groups are $p-$groups. So the next natural question that occurs is to classify all non-abelian $p-$groups G, whose enhanced power graph $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$ satisfy the cone property. The next theorem completely answers the question.

Theorem 3.3. *Let G be a non-abelian $p-$group. Then the enhanced power graph $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$ satisfies the cone property if and only if G is generalized quarternion group.*

Proof. First assume that G is a generalized quarternion group. So G has a unique minimal subgroup say $H =< x >, x \in G$. And clearly x is of order p. We show that x is a cone element. Let $y \in G$. Then $o(y) = p^t, t \in \mathbb{N}$. Since H is unique minimal subgroup we have $x \in < y >$. Hence $x \sim y$ in $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$.

Conversely, suppose that $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$ has a cone element x. We show that, every order p element belongs to the group $< x >$. For, suppose that $v \in G$ is of order p. Since $v \sim x$, $v, x \in C$, C cyclic and consequently $v \in < x >$. Hence G has a unique subgroup of order p, i. e. G has a unique minimal subgroup and hence G is isomorphic to a generalized quarternion group [6]. □

Theorem 3.4. *Let G be any simple group. Then $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$ does not satisfy the cone property.*

Proof. Let, if possible $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$ satisfy the cone property. Suppose that, v is a cone element. Let $o(v) = m$ and $p|m, p$ prime. We claim that, there exists a unique subgroup of order p. Let $x \in G$ such that $o(x) = p$. We show that $x \in < v >$. Since v is cone element, $x \sim v$. So there exists cyclic subgroup C such that $x, v \in C$. Hence $x \in < v >$. So there exists a unique subgroup of order p, which is normal in G. Contradiction. □

We leave the problem of complete classification of non-abelian groups, whose enhanced power graphs satisfy the cone property as an interesting open problem.

4 Eulerian and planar graph

In this section we characterize the groups G such that the graph $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$ is Eulerian and planar. A graph Γ is called Eulerian if it has a closed trail containing all the vertices of Γ. An useful equivalent characterization of an Eulerian graph is that a graph Γ is Eulerian if and only if every vertex of Γ is of even degree. A graph Γ is called planer if it can be drawn in a plane so that no two edges intersect. A graph is planer if and only if it does not contain a graph which is isomorphic to either of the graphs $K_{3,3}$ and K_5.

Theorem 4.1. *Let G be a group. Then the enhanced power graph $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$ is planar if and only if $\pi_e(G) \subset \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$.*

Proof. Suppose the graph $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$ is planar. If G has an element x such that $o(x) \geq 5$. Then the vertices in $< a >$ forms a clique of size ≥ 5 in $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$. Hence $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$ has a subgraph isomorphic to K_5 and so $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$ can not be planar.

Conversely, suppose that $\pi_e(G) \subset \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Let $a, b \in G$ such that $o(a) = 2$ and $o(b) = 3$. Since there are no element of order $6k, k \in \mathbb{N}$ in G, a is not adjacent to b in $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$. Similarly if $x_1, y_1 \in G$ such that $o(x_1) = 4$ and $o(y_1) = 3$, then x_1 is not edge connected to y_1. Again any element x of G of order $4, e, x, x^2, x^3$, form a complete subgraph K_4 of $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$. Now by the Proposition 2.1 and by the above discussion $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$ is planar graph. □

Theorem 4.2. *Let G be a group of order n. Then the enhanced power graph $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$ is Eulerian if and only if n is odd.*

Proof. Suppose that the graph $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$ is Eulerian. Since the vertex e is edge connected with every other vertices of the graph $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$, it follows that the degree of e is $n - 1$. Now $n - 1$ is even implies that n is odd.

Conversely assume that n is odd. Then the degree of e in $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$ is $n - 1$ and so even. Now we show that the degree of every non-identity element a is even. The vertex set of the enhanced power graph can be written as $V(\mathcal{G}_e(G)) = \bigcup_{x \in G} \mathcal{G}en(x)$, where $\mathcal{G}en(x)$ is the collection of all generators of the cyclic subgroup $< x >$. Now it follows from the discussion before Theorem 2.1, that all the vertices of the graph $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$ in $\mathcal{G}en(x)$ form a clique and if $x \in \mathcal{G}en(a)$, $y \in \mathcal{G}en(b)$ with $x \sim y$ then all the vertices in $\mathcal{G}en(a)$ are adjacent to all the vertices in $\mathcal{G}en(b)$. Since $\mathcal{G}en(x)$ contains $\phi(o(x))$ vertices and every vertex is adjacent to e, so the degree of a vertex a in the graph $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$ is of the form $(\phi(o(a)) - 1) + \phi(o(x_1)) + \phi(o(x_2)) + \cdots + \phi(o(x_m)) + 1 = \phi(o(a)) + \phi(o(x_1)) + \phi(o(x_2)) + \cdots + \phi(o(x_m))$. Now n is odd implies that $o(x)$ is odd and so $\phi(o(x))$ is even for all $x \in G$. Thus the degree of every vertex of the graph $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$ is even. Hence the enhanced power graph is Eulerian. □

5 The deleted enhanced power graph of a group

In this section we consider the subgraph $\mathcal{G}_e^*(G)$ obtained by deleting the vertex e from the graph $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$. We call $\mathcal{G}_e^*(G)$ the deleted enhanced power graph. Since the vertex e is adjacent to every other vertices in $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$ for every group G, so it is expected to get new information on the interplay of the group theoretic properties of G with the graph theoretic properties on consideration of the deleted enhanced power graph $\mathcal{G}_e^*(G)$. For every group G, the graph $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$ is connected, but $\mathcal{G}_e^*(S_3)$ is not connected whereas $\mathcal{G}_e^*(\mathbb{Z}_6)$ is connected. We prove the following results in this section with the help of the paper [16].

Theorem 5.1. *Let G be a finite $p-$group. Then the graph $\mathcal{G}_e^*(G)$ is connected if and only if G has a unique minimal subgroup.*

Proof. Suppose that G has a unique minimal subgroup, say H. Now $|G| = p^t$ implies that $|H| = p$ and $H =< x >$, where p is prime and $x \in G$. Let $y \in V(\mathcal{G}_e^*(G))$. Then $o(y) = p^t$, for some $t \in \mathbb{N}$. Now $o(x)$ divides $o(y)$ and $< x >$ is the unique minimal subgroup of G implies that $< x > \subset < y >$. So $x \sim y$. Hence the graph $\mathcal{G}_e^*(G)$ is connected.

Conversely suppose the graph $\mathcal{G}_e^*(G)$ is connected. If possible, assume that G has two minimal subgroups $< x >$ and $< y >$. Then $o(x) = o(y) = p$ implies that x is not adjacent to y, by Theorem 2.1. Since $\mathcal{G}_e^*(G)$ is connected there exists a path say $x = x_0 \sim x_1 \sim x_2 \sim \cdots \sim x_n = y$ of least length between x and y. Then $n \geq 2$. Now $x \sim x_1$ and $x_1 \sim x_2$ implies that there exists $z_1, z_2 \in G$ such that $x, x_1 \in < z_1 >$ and $x_1, x_2 \in < z_2 >$. Again the cyclic subgroup $< x >$ is minimal, so $< x > \subset < x_1 >$. Now either $< x_1 > \subset < x_2 >$ or $< x_2 > \subset < x_1 >$. If $< x_1 > \subset < x_2 >$ then we have $x, x_2 \in < x_2 >$ i. e. $x \sim x_2$. Again if $< x_2 > \subset < x_1 >$ then $< x > \subset < x_1 >$ and $< x_2 > \subset < x_1 >$ implies that $x, x_2 \in < x_1 >$. So $x \sim x_2$, a contradiction. Hence G has a unique minimal subgroup. □

Theorem 5.2. *Let $|\pi(Z(G))| \geq 2$. Then the graph $\mathcal{G}_e^*(G)$ is connected.*

Proof. The group $Z(G)$ is abelian, this implies that $|\mu(Z(G))| = 1$. Let $\mu(Z(G)) = t$ and $g \in Z(G)$, such that $o(g) = t$. Now we show that for each $x \in G \setminus \{e\}$ there is a path between x and g.

Case1: Let $\pi(o(x)) = \pi(t)$. Since $|\pi(t)| \geq 2$, it follows there are two distinct primes p and q in $\pi(t)$. Now $\pi(o(x)) = \pi(t)$ implies that $o(x^r) = p$ and $o(g^s) = q$ for some natural numbers r, s. Then $gcd(o(x^r, o(g^s))) = 1$ and $g \in Z(G)$ implies that . Now $o(x^r g^s) = pq$ and $x^r, g^s \in < x^r g^s >$. Hence we have a path $x \sim x^r \sim g^s \sim g$ in $\mathcal{G}_e^*(G)$.

Case2: Let $\pi(o(x)) \neq \pi(t)$. In this case there exists a prime $p \in \pi(o(x)) \setminus \pi(t)$ or $p \in \pi(t) \setminus \pi(o(x))$. Consider the case $p \in \pi(o(x)) \setminus \pi(t)$, the other case is similar. Let $q \neq p$ be a prime and $q \in \pi(t)$.Then

similar as above there exists $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $o(x^r) = q$ and $o(g^s) = p$, and we have a path $x \sim x^r \sim g^s \sim g$.

Hence that graph $\mathcal{G}_e^*(G)$ is connected. □

Theorem 5.3. *Let $|\pi(G)| \geq 2$ and $|Z(G)| = p^t$ for some prime $p \in \pi(G)$. Then the graph $\mathcal{G}_e^*(G)$ is connected if and only if for some non-central element x of order p there exists a non $p-$element g such that $x \sim g$ in the graph $\mathcal{G}_e^*(G)$.*

Proof. First suppose that the graph $\mathcal{G}_e^*(G)$ is connected. Let if possible, there be a non-central $p-$element x of order p such that for every non $p-$element g, x is not edge connected with g. Let $z \in Z(G)$ with $o(z) = p$. Let there is a path $P : x = x_0 \sim x_1 \sim x_2 \sim \cdots \sim x_k = z$ with minimal length. Let $x \sim z$, then there exists an element $z_1 \in G$ such that $x, z \in < z_1 >$. Again $o(x) = p$ and $Z(G)$ is a $p-$group implies that $o(z) = p^t$ and $x \in < z > \subset Z(G)$, i. e. $x \in Z(G)$, a contradiction. So x, z are not edge connected in the graph $\mathcal{G}_e^*(G)$.

We assume that $k > 1$. Since $x \in c_1$ there exists $z_2 \in G$ such that $x, c_1 \in < z_2 >$. Now by assumption c_1 is a $p-$element of G. Again $o(x) = p$ and $x, c_1 \in < z_2 >$ implies that $< x > \subset < g >$. Since $c_1 \sim c_2$ there exists $z_3 \in G$ such that $c_1, c_2 \in < z_3 >$. So $< x > \subset < c_1 >$ and $c_1, c_2 \in < z_3 >$ implies that $x, c_2 \in < z_3 >$, i. e. $x \sim c_2$, a contradiction.

Conversely, for every non-central element x of order p there exists a non $p-$element g such that $x \sim g$ in the graph $\mathcal{G}_e^*(G)$. We show that for each $g \in G \setminus Z(G)$ there is a path from g to each non-trivial element of $Z(G)$.

Case1: First suppose that g is not a $p-$element. Suppose $q \in \pi(G) \setminus \{p\}$ such that q divides $o(g)$ and $o(g^t) = q$, for some $t \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $z \in Z(G)$ and $o(z) = p^r, r \in \mathbb{N}$. Now proceeding as the first part we have $g \sim g^t \sim z$.

Case2: Let g is a $p-$element. Then there is a positive integer s such that $o(g^s) = p$. Let x be a $\acute{p}-$element of G, then $x \sim g^s \sim g$. Now x is not a $p-$element, so by case1 there is a path between x and any non-trivial element of $Z(G)$. Hence there is a path g and every non-trivial element of $Z(G)$. Now suppose that g^s does not belong to $Z(G)$. Now by assumption there is a non $p-$element x_1 in G such that $g^s \sim x_1$. Again $g \sim g^s \sim x_1$. So by case1 there is a path between g and all non-trivial elements in $Z(G)$. □

Theorem 5.4. *The following conditions are equivalent.*

1. *$o(a) < 4$ for every $a \in G$;*
2. *$\mathcal{G}_e^*(G)$ has no cycle;*
3. *$\mathcal{G}_e^*(G)$ is bipartite;*

4. $\mathcal{G}_e^*(G)$ is a forest.

Proof. Equivalences of (2), (4) and (3) are direct.

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Suppose that $o(a) < 4$ for every $a \in G$. Since every finite cyclic group has unique subgroup of a particular order, so two element of order 2 can not be adjacent in $\mathcal{G}_e^*(G)$. Since G has no element of order 6, so an element of order 2 can not be adjacent with an element of order 3. Also it follows from Theorem 2.1 that an element of order 3 can not be adjacent with other two elements of order 3. Hence $\mathcal{G}_e^*(G)$ can not have any cycle.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1): If G has an element a such that $o(a) \geq 4$, then $a \sim a^2 \sim a^3 \sim a$ is a cycle in $\mathcal{G}_e^*(G)$. □

Open problem: Characterize all finite non-abelian groups G such that $\mathcal{G}_e(G)$ has the cone property.

Acknowledgement: The authors acknowledge Mr.Sajal Kumar Mukherjee for proposing the Theorems 3.1, 3.2, 3.3. The first author is partially supported by UGC-JRF grant, India.

References

[1] J. Abawajy, A. V. Kelarev, M. Chowdhury, Power graphs: A survey. Electron. J. Graph Theory Appl 1 (2013)125-147.

[2] G. Aalipour, S. Akbari, P. J. Cameron, R. Nikandish, F. Shaveisi, On the structure of the power graph and the enhanced power graph of a group. arXiv: 1603.04337v1(2016)[math. CO].

[3] A. K. Bhuniya, S. Bera, On some characterizations of strong power graphs of finite groups, Spec. Matrices 4(2016) 121-129.

[4] J. A. Bondy, U. S. R. Murty, Graph theory with applications, Elsevier, (1977).

[5] G. Chartrand, P. Zhang, Introduction to graph theory, Tata McGraw-Hill, (2006).

[6] D. Gorestein, Finite groups, New York, Harper and Row, publishers, (1968).

[7] P. J. Cameron, S. Ghosh, The power graph of a finite group. Discrete Math 311(2011)220-1222.

[8] P. J. Cameron, The power graph of a finite group, II. J.Group Theory 13(6)(2010)779-783.

[9] A. Doostabadi, A. Erfanian, A. Jafarzadeh, Some results on the power graph of groups, The 44 th Annual Irnian Mathematics Conferance, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran (2013)27-30.

[10] I. Chakrabarty, S. Ghosh, M. K. Sen, Undirected power graphs of semigroups, Semigroup Forum 78(2009)410-426.

[11] N. Chudnovsky, N. Robertson, P. Seymour, R. thomas, The strong perfect graph theorem, Ann. Math.164(2006)51-229.

[12] C. Godsil, G. Royle, Algebraic Graph Theory, Springer-Verlag, New York Inc, (2001).

[13] J. A. Gallian, Contemporary Abstract Algebra, Narosa Publising House, (1999).

[14] T. W. Hungerford, Algebra, Gratuets Text in Mathematics, New York(NY), Springer-Verlag, 73(1974).

[15] A. V. Kelarev, S. J. Quinn, Directed graph and combinatorial properties of semigroups, J. aigebra 251(2002)16-26.

[16] A. R. Moghaddanfar, S. Rahbariyan, W. J. Shi, Certain properties of the power graph associated with a finite group, arXiv: 1310.2032v1(2013)[math. GR].

[17] J. J. Rotman, Advanced Modern Algebra, American Mathematical Society, (2010).

[18] S. P. Redmond, An ideal-based zero divisor graph of acommutative ring, Communication in algebra 31(2003)4425-4443.

[19] G. Singh, K. Manilal, Some Generalities on Power Graphs and Strong Power Graphs, Int. J. Contemp. Math Sciences 5(55)(2010)2723-2730.

[20] T. Tamizh Chelvam, M. Sattanathan, Power graph of finite abelian groups, Algebra and Discrete Mathematics 16(1) (2013)33-41.

[21] D. B. West, Introduction to Graph theory, 2nd ed. pearson education, (2001).